arXiv:1608.06657v1 [math.MG] 23 Aug 2016

Affine invariant points and new constructions

Ivan Iurchenko

Abstract

In [2] Grünbaum asked if the set of all affine invariant points of a given convex body is equal to the set of all points invariant under every affine automorphism of the body. In [3] we have proven the case of a body with no nontrivial affine automorphisms. After some partial results ([7],[6]) the problem was solved in positive by Mordhorst [8]. In this note we provide an alternative proof of the affirmative answer, developing the ideas of [3]. Moreover, our approach allows us to construct a new large class of affine invariant points.

Keywords: affine invariant points, symmetry, convex geometry.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}^n$ be the set of all convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $P : \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function satisfying the following two conditions:
1. For every nonsingular affine map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and every convex body $K \in \mathbb{K}^n$ one has $P(\varphi(K)) = \varphi(P(K))$.
2. $P(K)$ is continuous in the Hausdorff metric.
Such a function P is called an *affine-invariant point*. The centroid and the center of the John ellipsoid (the ellipsoid of maximal volume contained in a given convex body) are examples of affine-invariant points.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all affine-invariant points in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It was shown in [7] that $\mathcal{P}$ is an affine subspace of the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{K}^n$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Grünbaum [2] asked a natural question: how big is the set $\mathcal{P}$? In particular, how to describe the set $\mathcal{P}(K) = \{P(K) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ for a given $K \in \mathbb{K}^n$? Denote the set of points fixed under affine maps of K onto itself by $\mathcal{F}(K)$. Grünbaum observed that $\mathcal{P}(K) \subset \mathcal{F}(K)$ and asked the following question:

Question 1.1. *Is the set $\mathcal{P}$ big enough to ensure that $\mathcal{P}(K) = \mathcal{F}(K)$ for every $K \in \mathbb{K}^n$?*

In [7], Meyer, Schütt and Werner proved that the set of convex bodies K for which $\mathcal{P}(K) = \mathbb{R}^n$ is dense in $\mathbb{K}^n$. Then the author showed that if $\mathcal{F}(K) = \mathbb{R}^n$ then $\mathcal{P}(K) = \mathbb{R}^n$ [3]. Very recently, using a completely different approach, Mordhorst [8] has shown the affirmative answer to the Question 1.1. This proof used a previous development by P. Kuchment [4, 5]. The purpose of this note is to show that the method of [3] can be also used to answer Question 1.1, providing a new proof. Moreover, we construct a new large class of affine invariant points.

2 Definitions and Notation

Recall some basic notations from group theory.

The group of all invertible linear transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. The group of all invertible linear transformations with the determinant equal to 1, i.e. the transformations which preserve volume and orientation is denoted by $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

For the purposes of the current paper we will use the group of all linear transformations preserving volume but not necessarily preserving orientation, i.e. the transformations with the determinant equal ± 1 denoted by SL_n^-.

The group of all affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $Aff(n)$. It may be represented as $GL(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ with the rule $(r, x)(a) = r(a) + x$ where $r \in GL(n)$, $x, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The unit Euclidian ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by B_2^n. The Euclidian norm of a vector is denoted by $|x|$. The Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by μ.

A right (left) Haar measure is a measure on a locally compact topological group that is preserved under multiplication by the elements of the group from the right (left). The Lebesgue measure is an example of a Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Right and left Haar measures are unique up to multiplication however, not necessarily equal to each other. In this paper we always use a left Haar measure and denote the Haar measure of a set X by meas(X).

$SAff(n)$ is the group of all affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ preserving volume. This group may be represented as a semidirect product of the group of all matrices with determinants equal to ± 1 and $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the rule $(r, x)(a) = r(a) + x$ for every $r \in GL(n)$ with $\det(r) = \pm 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. $SAff(n)$ is equipped

with the Haar measure, which is the product of Haar measures on the group of all matrices with the determinant equal to ± 1 and the group $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The Hausdorff metric is a metric on $\mathbb{K}^n$, defined as

$$d_H(K_1, K_2) = \min\{\lambda \geq 0 : K_1 \subset K_2 + \lambda B_2^n; K_2 \subset K_1 + \lambda B_2^n\}.$$

By $\mathbb{K}_1^n$ we denote the set of all convex compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with volume 1.

3 Affine Invariant Points

For a given convex body $K \in \mathbb{K}^n$ a family of affine invariant points is constructed by taking an arbitrary point v and averaging all possible affine transformations of this point with the weight

$$F = F_K : \mathbb{K}^n \to C(SAff(n))$$

defined by

$$F_K(L)(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(L) \cap K), L \in \mathbb{K}^n, \varphi \in SAff(x).$$

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For $L \in \mathbb{K}_1^n$ define the affine invariant point $T_{k,K,v}$ by

$$T_{k,K,v}(L) = \left(\int\limits_{SAff(n)} F^k(L)(\varphi) d\varphi \right)^{-1} \int\limits_{SAff(n)} F^k(L)(\varphi)\varphi(v) d\varphi. \qquad (1)$$

In general, for $L \in \mathbb{K}^n$ we set

$$T_{k,K,v}(L) = |L|^{1/n} T_{k,K,v}(L/|L|^{1/n}). \qquad (2)$$

Theorem 3.1. *For a given convex body K and a vector $v \in \mathcal{F}(K)$, the function $T_{k,K,v} : \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, defined in (1) has the following properties:*
1. There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$, $T_{k,K,v}(L)$ is defined for all $L \in \mathbb{K}^n$.
2. $T_{k,K,v}$ is an affine invariant point if defined.
3. $T_{k,K,v}(K) \to v,\ k \to \infty$.

Theorem 3.1 implies that for every $K \in \mathbb{K}^n$ and every $v \in \mathcal{F}(K)$ we can find an affine invariant point F such that $F(K)$ is arbitrarily close to v. However, this implies that every point in $\mathcal{F}(K)$ can be obtained as an affine point of K because the set of all affine points is an affine space [7].

4 Technical Part

To prove Theorem 3.1 we will require some tools for integration over the group $SAff(n)$.

For a matrix $A \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ the ordered sequence $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n > 0$ of the singular values of the matrix A, is the sequence of all eigenvalues of $\sqrt{AA^*}$ counting multiplicities; see e.g. [1]. In the case $A \in SL_n^-$ we have $1 = |\det(A)| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$. For a matrix $A \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ we denote by $\|A\|$ its operator norm $\ell_2 \to \ell_2$, that is

$$\|A\| = \sup_{|x|=1} |Ax|.$$

Note that singular values of A give a convenient description of the norm $\|A\| = \lambda_1$.

For $R \geq 1$ the "ball" S_R is the set of all matrices $A \in SL_n^-$ such that $\|A\| \leq R$.

Note that for $R_1, R_2 \geq 1$ the following equality holds: $S_{R_1} S_{R_2} = S_{R_1 R_2}$. Indeed, by the property of the operator norm, $S_{R_1} S_{R_2} \subset S_{R_1 R_2}$. On the other hand, according to the polar decomposition, every $A \in S_{R_1 R_2}$ may be represented in the form $A = UP$, where U is a unitary matrix and P is positive Hermitian, see e.g. [9]. Then

$$A = UP^{\ln R_1 / \ln (R_1 R_2)} P^{\ln R_2 / \ln (R_1 R_2)},$$

with $UP^{\ln R_1 / \ln (R_1 R_2)} \in S_{R_1}$, $P^{\ln R_2 / \ln (R_1 R_2)} \in S_{R_2}$.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set $N \subset S_{2(1+\varepsilon)}$ such that for every integer $l \geq 0$ one has*

$$S_{2^l(1+\varepsilon)} \subset N^l S_{(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Proof. Since the set $S_{2(1+\varepsilon)}$ is compact, it can be covered by some finite collection of balls:

$$S_{2(1+\varepsilon)} \subset \cup_{N_i \in N} N_i S_{1+\varepsilon} = N S_{1+\varepsilon}.$$

We will show by induction that the set N satisfies the condition of the proposition. The base case for $l = 0$ is trivial. Now we show the inductive step:

$$S_{2^{l+1}(1+\varepsilon)} = S_{2^l(1+\varepsilon)} S_2 \subset N^l S_{1+\varepsilon} S_2 = N^l S_{2(1+\varepsilon)} \subset N^l N S_{1+\varepsilon}.$$

□

Proposition 4.2. *For every $n \geq 2$, $\alpha \geq 0$ there exists $p \geq 1$ such that for any convex bodies K, L the integral*

$$\int\limits_{SL_n^-} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^p \left(L \cap (M(K) + x)\right) \|M\|^\alpha dx dM$$

converges. Here dM is a Haar measure on SL_n^-.

Proof. There exists a radius $R > 0$ such that the bodies K, L are simultaneously contained within the ball RB_2^n. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{SL_n^-} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^p \left(L \cap (M(K) + x)\right) \|M\|^\alpha dx dM \\
&\leq \int\limits_{SL_n^-} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^p \left(RB_2^n \cap (M(RB_2^n) + x)\right) \|M\|^\alpha dx dM \\
&= R^{pn} \int\limits_{SL_n^-} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^p \left(B_2^n \cap (M(B_2^n) + \frac{x}{R})\right) \|M\|^\alpha dx dM \\
&= R^{pn+n} \int\limits_{SL_n^-} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^p \left(B_2^n \cap (M(B_2^n) + x)\right) \|M\|^\alpha dx dM.
\end{aligned}$$

It is enough to consider the convergence of the integral

$$\int\limits_{SL_n^-} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^p \left(B_2^n \cap (M(B_2^n) + x)\right) \|M\|^\alpha dx dM. \tag{3}$$

Note that the lengths of semiaxes of the ellipsoid $M(B_2^n)$ are defined by the singular values $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ of M in particular, the diameter of $M\left(B_2^n\right)$ equals $2\lambda_1$ and its minimal width equals $2\lambda_n$. This means that for $|x| > \lambda_1 + 1$ the volume $\mu\left(B_2^n \cap (M(B_2^n) + x)\right) = 0$. For all other x the ellipsoid MB_2^n is contained within the slab $L = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\langle y, u\rangle| \leq \lambda_n\}$ for some vector u. Therefore,

$$\mu\left(B_2^n \cap (M(B_2^n) + x)\right) \leq \mu\left(B_2^n \cap (L + x)\right) \leq 2\lambda_n \left|B_2^{n-1}\right|.$$

Summing up, the integral (3) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{SL_n^-}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^p\left(B_2^n \cap (M(B_2^n)+x)\right)\|M\|^\alpha dx dM \\
&= \int\limits_{SL_n^-}\int\limits_{|x|\le\lambda_1+1} \mu^p\left(B_2^n \cap (M(B_2^n)+x)\right)\|M\|^\alpha dx dM \\
&\le \int\limits_{SL_n^-}\int\limits_{|x|\le\lambda_1+1} \left(2\lambda_n|B_2^{n-1}|\right)^p\|M\|^\alpha dx dM \\
&\le \int\limits_{SL_n^-} (2\lambda_1)^n|B_2^n|\left(2\lambda_n|B_2^{n-1}|\right)^p\|M\|^\alpha dM \\
&= 2^{n+p}\left|B_2^{n-1}\right|^p|B_2^n|\int\limits_{SL_n^-}\lambda_1^{n+\alpha}\lambda_n^p dM.
\end{aligned}$$

Keeping in mind that $\prod\limits_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ one has

$$\lambda_1^{n+\alpha}\lambda_n^p \le \lambda_1^{n+\alpha}\left(\lambda_2\lambda_3\dots\lambda_n\right)^{p/(n-1)} = \lambda_1^{n+\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\right)^{p/(n-1)} = \lambda_1^{n+\alpha-\frac{p}{n-1}}.$$

Finally, putting $q = -n-\alpha+\frac{p}{n-1}$ it is enough to show that there exists sufficiently big $q>0$ such that the integral

$$\int\limits_{SL_n^-}\|M\|^{-q}dM \tag{4}$$

is convergent. To prove this we split the group SL_n^- into smaller sets

$$S_{2^l}\setminus S_{2^{l-1}}, l\ge 1.$$

Then

$$\int\limits_{SL_n^-}\|M\|^{-q}dM = \sum_{l=1}^\infty \int\limits_{S_{2^l}\setminus S_{2^{l-1}}}\|M\|^{-q}dM \le \sum_{l=1}^\infty 2^{-lq}\mathrm{meas}(S_{2^l}). \tag{5}$$

According to Lemma 4.1, there exists a set N such that $\mathrm{meas}(S_{2^l}) \leq |N|^l \mathrm{meas}(S_{2(1+\varepsilon)})$. Therefore, the series (5) is bounded by a geometric series with the ratio $2^{-q}|N|$ which is convergent for $q > \log_2 |N|$. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be a locally compact topological group and dx be a Haar measure on G. Let continuous functions f, g satisfy the following conditions:*

1. *For every $x \in G : 0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$.*
2. *There exists $x_0 \in G$ such that $f(x_0) = 1$. Moreover, if $x_1 \in G$ is such that $f(x_1) = f(x_0) = 1$ then $g(x_1) = g(x_0)$.*
3. *There exists a constant $c < 1$ and a compact K such that for every $x \in G \setminus K, f(x) < c$.*
4. *There exists $k_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$ the integrals*

$$\int_G f^k(x)dx, \ \int_G f^k(x)\,|g(x)|\,dx$$

are convergent.

Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\int_G f^k(x)g(x)dx}{\int_G f^k(x)dx} = g(x_0).$$

Proof. Note that the integral

$$\int_G f^k(x)|g(x) - g(x_0)|dx \leq \int_G f^k(x)\,|g(x)|\,dx + g(x_0)\int_G f^k(x)dx$$

is convergent for for $k \geq k_0$. Passing to the new function $g - g(x_0)$ if needed, we may assume that $g(x_0) = 0$.

The set $N = f^{-1}(1) \subset K$ is closed and therefore compact. By the assumption of the proposition $g(N) = \{0\}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider a neighbourhood U of N such that $|g| < \varepsilon$ on U. There exists a positive constant $C < 1$ such that $f < C$ outside of U. Indeed, outside of K the function f is bounded from above by c, on the compact set $K \setminus U$ the function f is separated from 1 by the compactness argument. By continuity of f, there exists a constant $D \in (C, 1)$ and a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of N such that $D < f \leq 1$ on V. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\int_G f^k(x)\,|g(x)|\,dx}{\int_G f^k(x)dx} \leq & \frac{\int_U f^k(x)\,|g(x)|\,dx + \int_{G\setminus U} f^k(x)\,|g(x)|\,dx}{\int_U f^k(x)\,dx} \\ \leq & \varepsilon + \frac{\int_{G\setminus U} f^k(x)\,|g(x)|\,dx}{\int_V f^k(x)\,dx} \\ \leq & \varepsilon + \frac{C^{k-k_0}\int_{G\setminus U} f^{k_0}(x)\,|g(x)|\,dx}{D^{k-k_0}\int_V f^{k_0}(x)\,dx} \to \varepsilon,\ k\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Sending ε to 0 we obtain the required statement.

□

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For fixed K and v we will shorten the notation by writing T_k instead of $T_{k,K,v}$.
1. Proposition 4.2 applied with $\alpha = 1$ (respectively, $\alpha = 0$) implies that the integral in the numerator (respectively, denominator) is convergent.
2. $T_k(cK) = cT_k(K)$ by the definition of T_k.
For every $\tau \in SAff(n)$ and $L \in \mathbb{K}^n_1:\ T_k(\tau(L)) = \tau(T_k(L))$.
Denote

$$c = \left(\int_{SAff(n)} F^k(L)(\varphi)d\varphi\right)^{-1}.$$

For arbitrary $\tau \in SAff(n)$ we have

$$T(\tau L) = c\int\limits_{SAff(n)} F^k(\tau L)(\varphi)\varphi(v)d\varphi = c\int\limits_{SAff(n)} F^k(L)(\tau^{-1}\varphi)\varphi(v)d\varphi.$$

Replacing φ by $\tau\varphi$ we get

$$T(\tau L) = c\int\limits_{SAff(n)} F^k(L)(\varphi)\tau(\varphi(v))d\varphi = \tau\left(c\int\limits_{SAff(n)} F^k(L)(\varphi)\varphi(v)d\varphi\right).$$

The last equality holds because $cF^k(L)(\varphi)d\varphi$ is a probabilistic measure. Therefore, for every affine τ and every integrable function f one has

$$\int\limits_{SAff(n)} \tau(f(\varphi))cF^k(L)(\varphi)d\varphi = \tau\left(\int\limits_{SAff(n)} f(\varphi)cF^k(L)(\varphi)d\varphi\right).$$

Note that the function

$$\frac{1}{\int_{S_R\times\mathbb{R}^n} F^k(L)(\varphi)d\varphi}\int\limits_{S_R\times\mathbb{R}^n} F^k(L)(\varphi)\varphi(v)d\varphi$$

is continuous as a function of L by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem because both integrals are uniformly bounded by a convergent integral by Proposition 4.2. Then

$$T_k=\lim_{R\to\infty}\frac{1}{\int_{S_R\times\mathbb{R}^n} F^k(L)(\varphi)d\varphi}\int\limits_{S_R\times\mathbb{R}^n} F^k(L)(\varphi)\varphi(v)d\varphi$$

is continuous.
3. Convergence is the direct application of the Proposition 4.3, where $f(\varphi)=F(K)(\varphi)$ and $g(\varphi)$ is $\varphi(v)$ taken coordinatewise. Similarly to the proof of the Proposition 4.2 the function $F(K)((A,x))$ is separated from 1 when either $\|A\|$ or $|x|$ is big. Note that $F(K)(id)=1$ and if $F(K)(\varphi)=1$ then $\varphi(K)=K$ which means $\varphi(v)=v$ because $v\in\mathcal{F}(K)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\int_{SAff(n)} F(K)^k(\varphi)d\varphi}\int\limits_{SAff(n)} F(K)^k(\varphi)\varphi(v)d\varphi\to id(v)=v,\ k\to\infty.$$

□

References

[1] J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis,* 2nd ed., New York: Springer, 2007.

[2] B. Grünbaum, *Measures of symmetry for convex sets,* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VII, 1963, 233–270.

[3] I. Iurchenko, *Affine-Invariant Points,* Presented at poster session at Informal Analysis and Probability Seminar, October 17-19, 2014, Department of Mathematics at University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

[4] P. Kuchment, *, On a problem concerning affine-invariant points of convex sets,* Optimizaciya 8, (1972), 48-51 (in Russian).

[5] P. Kuchment, *On a problem concerning affine-invariant points of convex sets,* arXiv:1602.04377, Feb. 2016 (English translation of [4]).

[6] M. Meyer, C. Schütt, E. M. Werner, *New affine measures of symmetry for convex bodies,* Adv. Math. 228, (2011), 2920-2942.

[7] M. Meyer, C. Schütt, E. M. Werner, *Affine invariant points,* Israel J. Math. 208 (2015), no. 1, 163–192.

[8] O. Mordhorst, *New results on affine invariant points,* arXiv:1509.06023, to appear in Israel J. Math.

[9] G. W. Stewart, *Introduction to matrix computations,* New York: Academic Press, 1973.

Ivan Iurchenko,
Dept. of Math. and Stat. Sciences,
University of Alberta,
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6G 2G1.
e-mail: iurchenk@ualberta.ca